

Against Certainty

*Quotations on Chance,
Science, Error, and Wonder*



Dr Louie Savva

Against Certainty

Quotations on Chance, Science, Error, and Wonder

Dr. Louie Savva

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Probability	3
3	Chance	8
4	Science	12
5	Error	17
6	Evidence	21
7	Evolution and Human Smallness	25
8	Time	30
9	Death	35
10	Absurdity	39
11	Wonder	44

Sources and Notes	48
Index of Authors	56

Preface

This book began as a private accumulation.

Many of these quotations were gathered while I was writing my PhD thesis. At first they were notes, fragments, things copied down because they seemed sharp, amusing, true, or difficult to forget. Over time I came to see that they were doing more work than that. Some of them had become companions. A few seemed less like observations than lifelines. Kierkegaard's paradox — “I would have perished had I not perished” — was one of them.

There have been periods in my life when a sentence has been more helpful to me than a system. Not because it solved anything, or offered comfort in the usual sense, but because it clarified. It named something precisely. It cut through fog. It refused sentimentality. Or it reminded me that confusion, absurdity, uncertainty, and mortality were not personal failures, but part of the structure of things.

I have never been especially drawn to quotations as ornaments. The ones that matter to me are not there to decorate a page or lend borrowed grandeur to an argument. They matter because they have proved usable. I have returned to some of them repeatedly over the years: Darwin, Kierkegaard, Wells,

Pascal, Sagan, Chesterton, Laplace, and others. Some are severe, some comic, some exhilarating. A few are so exact that they almost feel like blows. They have all, in different ways, helped me think.

That is the spirit in which this collection has been assembled.

It is not a book of uplifting sayings. It does not offer certainty, serenity, or the illusion that life can be made fully coherent by arranging the right words in the right order. What it offers instead is a set of voices that have, at various times, sharpened my thinking or steadied my mind: on chance, probability, science, error, evidence, death, absurdity, and wonder.

Some quotations are admired. Others are inhabited.

These are the inhabited kind.

Chapter 1: Introduction

Human beings do not live by certainty. We live by approximation, inference, probability, correction, error, and hope. We look at fragments and act as if they are enough. We gather evidence, form patterns, revise our convictions, and then die still not knowing everything we wanted to know. That is not a failure of thought. It is the human situation.

One reason probability recurs so often in these pages is that it reaches far beyond mathematics. It names not merely a technical discipline but a style of existence: one in which certainty is rare, judgment is necessary, and thought must proceed under conditions of incompleteness.

The scientific spirit represented here is not triumphalist. It is disciplined, provisional, and unromantic. Its real strength lies not in the possession of final truth, but in the willingness to question, test, revise, and correct. Knowledge, in that sense, is not certainty preserved in amber, but a fallible human struggle against confusion, vanity, and wishful thinking.

At the same time, the world disclosed by these quotations is not dead or drab. It is strange, comic, often absurd, and occasionally sublime. There is humor here because humor is one of the few sane reactions to a nearly reasonable universe.

There is wonder here because disillusionment need not end in dullness. To abandon superstition is not to abandon awe. On the contrary, it may be the first condition of genuine awe. These are not pieties. They are acknowledgments of scale.

What links the chapters that follow is therefore not a doctrine but a temperament: skeptical without being sterile, amused without being shallow, severe without being joyless. It is the temperament of someone who would rather know than be reassured, who accepts that evidence outranks preference, and who suspects that our neatest explanations are often too neat to be true.

If there is wisdom here, it is not the wisdom of certainty. It is the wisdom of learning how to think, act, and remain open-eyed in a world where final guarantees are unavailable.

Chapter 2: Probability

Probability is what remains when omniscience is denied us.

If we knew everything, there would be no need for probability. There would be no guesswork, no inference, no weighing of evidence, no judgment under uncertainty. Boole says as much: probability is expectation founded upon partial knowledge, and perfect acquaintance with all the circumstances would turn expectation into certainty. But perfect acquaintance is not our lot. We are creatures of limited evidence, moving through a world too large, too intricate, and too unruly to be grasped in full. Probability is therefore not a mere mathematical side issue. It is the grammar of finite minds.

That is why the greatest writers on probability so often sound like philosophers of life. Laplace says that the most important questions of life are, for the most part, really only problems of probability. Cicero calls probability the very guide of life. Descartes advises that when truth cannot be determined, we ought to follow what is most probable. Maxwell goes further and says that the true logic for this world is the calculus of probabilities. Together they are making a claim larger than arithmetic. Uncertainty, on this view, is not an occasional inconvenience but the normal condition under which

human beings think and choose.

This has at least two consequences. The first is intellectual humility. Our beliefs are always formed under conditions of incompleteness. What we call judgment is usually the management of limited information. What we call certainty is often temperament, habit, or vanity dressed up as reason. Probability teaches us to live with degrees, not absolutes. It reminds us that “true” and “false” are not always available to us in the full, godlike sense we might prefer.

The second consequence is that probability educates our response to coincidence. Human beings are pattern-hungry animals. We see meaning in clumps, design in accidents, destiny in retrospect. But as Poe observes, coincidences are stumbling blocks for those who know nothing of the theory of probabilities. Diaconis and Mosteller point out that with a large enough sample, outrageous things are likely to happen. What feels uncanny may only be innumeracy. What feels like fate may be scale. Probability does not abolish wonder, but it does save us from inflating surprise into revelation.

Probability also sharpens science by forcing it to admit its limits. Born says that chance enters at the very first steps of scientific activity because no observation is absolutely correct. Gould warns that misunderstanding probability may be the greatest impediment to scientific literacy. This is not a retreat from knowledge. It is one of the conditions of honest knowledge. Science advances not by pretending uncertainty has been conquered, but by measuring it, narrowing it, and reasoning through it without sentimentality.

There is something morally useful in this. A person who understands probability is harder to deceive, including by him-

self. He is less likely to worship hunches, fear coincidences, or confuse repeated assertion with proof. He may still be wrong, often spectacularly so, but he has at least abandoned the childish hope that life will present itself in the form of certainty or nothing.

Probability is therefore not only a branch of mathematics. It is one of the disciplines of adulthood.

Selected quotations

Probability is expectation founded upon partial knowledge. A perfect acquaintance with all the circumstances affecting the occurrence of an event would change expectation into certainty, and leave neither room nor demand for a theory of probabilities.

— *George Boole*

The most important questions of life are indeed, for the most part, really only problems of probability.

— *Pierre-Simon Laplace*

Probability theory is nothing but common sense reduced to calculation.

— *Pierre-Simon Laplace*

Probability is the very guide of life.

— *Cicero*

It is a truth very certain that when it is not in our power to determine what is true we ought to follow what is most probable.

— *René Descartes*

The true logic for this world is the calculus of Probabilities, which takes account of the magnitude of the probability which is, or ought to be, in a reasonable man's mind.

— *James Clerk Maxwell*

Misunderstanding of probability may be the greatest of all impediments to scientific literacy.

— *Stephen Jay Gould*

Coincidences, in general, are great stumbling blocks in the way of that class of thinkers who have been educated to know nothing of the theory of probabilities. . .

— *Edgar Allan Poe*

The conception of chance enters in the very first steps of scientific activity in virtue of the fact that no observation is absolutely correct.

— *Max Born*

The 'Law of Frequency of Error' . . . reigns with serenity and in complete self-effacement amidst the wildest confusion. The huger the mob. . . the more perfect is

its sway. It is the supreme law of Unreason. Whenever a large sample of chaotic elements are taken in hand. . . an unsuspected and most beautiful form of regularity proves to have been latent all along.

— *Francis Galton*

With a large enough sample, any outrageous thing is likely to happen.

— *Diaconis and Mosteller*

Chapter 3: Chance

If probability is the method by which finite creatures reason under uncertainty, chance is the name we give to the world's refusal to arrange itself around our preferences.

Chance unsettles because it offends several human vanities at once. We would prefer a universe in which events arrive with reasons already attached, in which coincidence is never mere coincidence, in which outcomes conform to moral deserts, and in which surprise is always secretly design. But chance intrudes everywhere: in birth, in death, in the accidents of history, in the timing of discoveries, in the distribution of talents, in illness, in survival, in which species flourished and which vanished, in which one of us happened to meet another, and in the thousand contingencies retrospectively disguised as necessity.

The mind resists this. It wants pattern, narrative, and intention. It wants the unusual to mean something. Yet one of the intellectual uses of chance is precisely to restrain this hunger for significance. Laplace warns that people remember the coincidences that impress them and forget the countless non-events that would correct their judgment. Chance, then, is not simply what happens; it is also a test of whether the mind can refrain from flattering itself with premature explanation.

And yet chance is not merely a negative idea. It is also tied to openness, contingency, and the fact that the world was not obliged to become exactly this world. Gould's view of evolution, for example, strips away triumphalist stories and leaves us with a history full of branching, dead ends, lucky survivals, and improbable continuities. We are not the obvious culmination of a grand design. We are an item of history. We happened. That can feel humiliating, but it can also feel liberating. If chance has helped make us, then the universe is stranger, looser, and less stage-managed than the pious imagination prefers.

Human beings have always oscillated over chance. Some deny it and insist that everything occurs by necessity. Others surrender to it entirely, imagining a world in which order is impossible and law a fantasy. But the better mind learns to live in the tension. There are regularities, and there are accidents. There are causes, and there are contingencies. There are laws, and there are outcomes that, from our limited position, appear as luck, misfortune, coincidence, or fate. The discipline lies in not converting ignorance into metaphysics too quickly.

Chance is philosophically important not because it explains everything, but because it forbids too much explanation. It reminds us that events need not have been meant in order to have happened. It humbles the interpreter. It cools superstition. It cautions against hindsight. And it leaves us with a world that is neither wholly chaotic nor comfortably scripted, but nearly reasonable, and therefore far more difficult to inhabit honestly.

Selected quotations

Chance governs all.

— *John Milton*

... it is well to bear in mind that chances rule men,
and not men chances.

— *Herodotus*

Nothing occurs at random, but everything for a reason
and by necessity.

— *Leucippus*

How dare we speak of the laws of chance? Is not
chance the antithesis of all law?

— *Joseph Bertrand*

Chance, too, which seems to rush along with slack
reins, is bridled and governed by law.

— *Boethius*

Consider that chance, which, with error, its brother,
and folly, its aunt, and malice, its grandmother,
rules in this world. . .

— *Arthur Schopenhauer*

The wish to see the future, and the consonance of
many remarkable events with the predictions of astrologers,
fortunetellers, and soothsayers. . . has given

birth to a multitude of widespread prejudices. People do not reflect upon the large number of coincidences which have made no impression. . .

— *Pierre-Simon Laplace*

Chapter 4: Science

Science begins with an insult to vanity.

It tells us that our intuitions are unreliable, that conviction is not proof, that the world is under no obligation to match common sense, and that what feels true may be false for a very long time. It replaces the comfort of authority with the discomfort of method. It insists that opinions, however cherished, must answer to observation and experiment. This is one reason science has always been so abrasive to dogma, pseudoscience, and metaphysical pomposity. It is not merely that science discovers new facts. It is that science subjects belief to a discipline many beliefs would rather avoid.

That discipline is not certainty. Gould reminds us that in science a “fact” means only something confirmed to such a degree that withholding provisional assent would be perverse. Box remarks that all models are wrong, though some are useful. Oppenheimer insists that the scientist must be free to ask any question, doubt any assertion, seek any evidence, and correct any errors. Popper gives the harder edge of the same idea: an empirical scientific system must be refutable by experience. Science, then, is not a vault of indubitable truths. It is a method for exposing cherished ideas to the risk of failure.

That is why science requires a certain moral temperament as much as an intellectual one. It asks for patience, candor, precision, and a willingness to be wrong in public. Hazlitt says that the origin of false science lies in the unwillingness to acknowledge our own ignorance. The point is not merely procedural. It is ethical. Science demands that we prefer correction to self-esteem.

Science is also permanently at war with the human appetite for easy significance. Asimov notes that the wish to believe, even against evidence, fuels pseudoscience. Huxley, with his usual venom, pictures extinguished theologians lying about the cradle of every science. Behind the wit is a serious point: whenever human beings can choose between evidence and flattery, evidence is often the less popular option. Science institutionalizes the refusal of flattery.

And yet science is not only severity. It is also exhilaration. Einstein says that the most incomprehensible thing about the world is that it is comprehensible. Bronowski calls science the acceptance of what works and the rejection of what does not, and says that this requires more courage than we tend to imagine. Even Feynman, who delighted in puncturing solemnity, could write that nature appears absurd from the standpoint of common sense and yet agrees with experiment. Science does not banish wonder; it purifies wonder by removing falsehood from it.

What emerges from these quotations is not a marble statue called SCIENCE, but a human activity at once disciplined and adventurous: fallible, argumentative, exacting, anti-sentimental, and frequently comic. It proceeds by guesses, tests, refinements, failures, and occasions of astonishment. It is one of

the few collective enterprises in which being shown wrong is, ideally, a form of progress.

That is why science matters here. Not because it gives us certainty, but because it gives us a way of living honestly without it.

Selected quotations

All models are wrong but some are useful.

— *George Box*

In science, ‘fact’ can only mean ‘confirmed to such a degree that it would be perverse to withhold provisional assent.’ I suppose that apples might start to rise tomorrow, but the possibility does not merit equal time in physics classrooms.

— *Stephen Jay Gould*

The scientist is free, and must be free to ask any question, to doubt any assertion, to seek for any evidence, to correct any errors.

— *J. Robert Oppenheimer*

It must be possible for an empirical scientific system to be refuted by experience.

— *Karl Popper*

Science is based on a fundamental insight—that the degree to which an idea seems true has nothing to

do with whether it is true, and the way to distinguish factual ideas from false ones is to test them by experiment.

— *Elizabeth Loftus*

The wish to believe, even against evidence, fuels all the pseudosciences from astrology to creationism.

— *Isaac Asimov*

Extinguished theologians lie about the cradle of every science as the strangled snakes beside that of Hercules.

— *Thomas Henry Huxley*

The most incomprehensible thing about the world is that it is comprehensible.

— *Albert Einstein*

Science is facts. Just as houses are made of stones, so is science made of facts. But a pile of stones is not a house and a collection of facts is not necessarily science.

— *Henri Poincaré*

The origin of all science is the *desire to know causes*; and the origin of all false science and imposture is in the desire to accept false causes rather than none; or, which is the same thing, in the unwillingness to acknowledge our own ignorance.

— *William Hazlitt*

The essence of science: ask an impertinent question,
and you are on the way to a pertinent answer.

— *Jacob Bronowski*

Chapter 5: Error

Error is not the opposite of thought. It is one of its conditions.

Human beings like to imagine that truth arrives cleanly, that knowledge advances by the steady addition of correct statements, and that mistake is an unfortunate detour taken only by the foolish. But error is not merely what happens when thinking fails. It is what thinking passes through. We learn by misjudging, by overreaching, by mistaking signal for noise, by forcing theories too far, by clinging to explanations that later collapse, and sometimes by being so decisively wrong that reality finally has something solid to correct.

This is why a good scientific temperament is not one that avoids error altogether, but one that can survive being wrong. Bacon says that truth comes out of error more easily than out of confusion. Bronowski praises contradiction as the basis of scientific method: the willingness to think against what one has been told, to test inherited assumptions, and to refuse obedient consensus. These are not decorative remarks. They describe a culture in which mistake is not shameful unless it hardens into dogma.

There is also a comic side to error, and the collection is wise enough to keep it. Dawkins jokes about the “type 3 error” in

which the mind goes blank trying to remember the difference between type 1 and type 2. Samuel Butler says that next to being right, the best thing is to be clearly and definitely wrong, because then one is at least likely to collide with a fact. Orwell, in a different vein, notes that one has to belong to the intelligentsia to believe certain things, since no ordinary man could be such a fool. The point is not merely that people make mistakes. It is that intellect itself can become a particularly elaborate instrument of error.

Error also has a deeper natural role. Lewis Thomas suggests that biology may need a better word than “error” for evolution’s driving force, though perhaps the old root of wandering is apt after all. In that setting, error is not an embarrassing blemish on creation. It is part of the process by which variation appears, experiments accumulate, and novelty enters the living world.

To take error seriously is therefore to become less theatrical about certainty. It is to expect fallibility in oneself and others, to distrust systems that cannot admit correction, and to value the kind of mind that can revise itself without collapsing. The worst error is not being wrong. It is being wrong and unable to bear the fact. The second worst is confusing noise for knowledge, or confidence for accuracy, or inherited prestige for truth. Good inquiry requires something rarer: the willingness to be found out by the world.

Error, then, is not just failure. It is exposure. It is the place where fantasy meets resistance. It reminds us that reality is not obliged to confirm our preferences. And, when handled properly, it is one of the few things that can still lead us toward truth.

Selected quotations

Truth comes out of error more easily than out of confusion.

— *Francis Bacon*

I once told an audience of school children that the world would never change if they did not contradict their elders. I was chagrined to find next morning that this axiom outraged their parents. Yet it is the basis of scientific method. A man must see, do, and think things for himself, in the face of those who are sure that they have already been over all that ground. In science, there is no substitute for independence.

— *Jacob Bronowski*

Next to being right in this world, the best of all things is to be clearly and definitely wrong. If you go buzzing about between right and wrong, vibrating and fluctuating, you come out nowhere; but if you are absolutely and thoroughly and persistently wrong, you must, some of these days, have the extreme good fortune of knocking your head against a fact, and that sets you all straight again.

— *Samuel Butler*

Statisticians distinguish false positive from false negative errors, sometimes called type 1 and type 2 errors respectively. . . There is a type 3 error in which

your mind goes totally blank whenever you try to remember which is which of type 1 and type 2.

— *Richard Dawkins*

Biology needs a better word than ‘error’ for the driving force in evolution. Or maybe ‘error’ will do after all, when you remember that it came from an old root meaning to wander about, looking for something.

— *Lewis Thomas, The Medusa and the Snail*

One has to belong to the intelligentsia to believe things like that: no ordinary man could be such a fool.

— *George Orwell, Notes on Nationalism*

Nature will tell you a direct lie if she can.

— *Charles Darwin*

On two occasions I have been asked, ‘Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?’ I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question.

— *Charles Babbage*

Chapter 6: Evidence

Evidence is the point at which belief stops being a private mood and becomes answerable to the world.

That sounds obvious, but it is astonishing how much human thought is devoted to evading it. We want hunches to count as proof, confidence to count as accuracy, repetition to count as demonstration, and sincerity to count as warrant. We are flattered by our convictions and often irritated by the demand to justify them. Yet the harder principle remains: what matters is not how strongly something is asserted, how vividly it is felt, or how many people repeat it, but what can actually be shown.

This is why evidence is more than a technical scientific concern. It is an intellectual ethic. Elizabeth Loftus puts it beautifully and plainly: the degree to which an idea seems true has nothing to do with whether it is true, and experiment is the means by which factual ideas are separated from false ones. Sagan's line about extraordinary claims requiring extraordinary evidence has become famous because it expresses the same demand with memorable force. Big claims need big support. Mere intensity will not do. Wishfulness will not do. The chorus of agreement will not do. Robert Shapiro's remark that the mere statement that something is true need not be counted as

evidence, no matter how many voices join in, should probably be nailed above every desk.

Evidence also teaches proportion. It does not ask us to believe nothing; it asks us to believe in accordance with what is shown. Between gullibility and absolute negation sits the more difficult posture of suspended judgment. That is one reason evidence is morally and psychologically demanding. It often asks us to remain incomplete. It refuses the emotional satisfactions of premature certainty.

Evidence is also the enemy of swagger. It cuts down metaphysical posturing, pseudoscientific enthusiasm, and the inflated self-confidence of people who mistake assertion for demonstration. Asimov notes that it is easier to believe in parapsychological phenomena if one is ignorant of, or indifferent to, the nature of scientific evidence. The Royal Society's motto, *nullius in verba*—take nobody's word for it—belongs here not just as a scientific slogan but as a spiritual corrective to human vanity.

Evidence is also humbling because it reminds us how fragile observation itself can be. Hume warns against judging the whole course of nature from a single experiment, however accurate or certain. The demand for evidence is not simple because the world is not simple. Good evidence has to be gathered, weighed, questioned, and tested against the possibility of noise, bias, and misinterpretation.

What emerges from all this is not cynicism but discipline. Evidence does not forbid belief. It civilizes it. It says: believe, but in proportion; infer, but cautiously; remain open, but not so open that nonsense strolls in and takes the best chair. The deeper dignity of evidence is that it lets the world answer back.

It denies us the fantasy that our minds can legislate reality by intensity alone. In that sense, evidence is one of the great anti-narcissistic achievements of human thought.

If probability is how we live without certainty, and error is what we pass through on the way to knowledge, then evidence is the tribunal before which both must appear. It is not glamorous. It is often inconvenient. It can be maddeningly incomplete. But without it, thought becomes theatre.

Selected quotations

Science is based on a fundamental insight—that the degree to which an idea seems true has nothing to do with whether it is true, and the way to distinguish factual ideas from false ones is to test them by experiment.

— *Elizabeth Loftus*

Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence.

— *Carl Sagan*

Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence.

— *Carl Sagan*

The mere statement that something is true need not be considered evidence in its favor, no matter how many voices join in the chorus.

— *Robert Shapiro, Origins*

It is a great deal easier to believe in the existence of parapsychological phenomena if one is ignorant of, or indifferent to, the nature of scientific evidence.

— *Isaac Asimov*

... [it is] justly esteemed an unpardonable temerity to judge the whole course of nature from one single experiment, however accurate or certain.

— *David Hume, An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*

Nullius in verba. Take nobody's word for it.

— *Motto of the Royal Society*

Experimentation is the least arrogant method of gaining knowledge. The experimenter humbly asks a question of nature.

— *Isaac Asimov*

Chapter 7: Evolution and Human Smallness

One of science's least popular achievements is that it has repeatedly put us in our place.

We are very fond of centrality. We like to imagine that the world was arranged with us in mind, that history builds toward our appearance, that consciousness crowns creation, and that the human story is somehow the obvious point of the whole performance. Science keeps sawing through that vanity. Darwinism in particular appears here not merely as a biological theory but as a humiliation of human self-importance. It is, in one important sense, a putting in our place.

That is why Dawkins' observation matters so much: it is almost as if the human brain were specifically designed to misunderstand Darwinism, and to find it hard to believe. The theory does not merely tell us something new about finches or fossils. It offends a deep psychological need. We prefer ladders to bushes, destinies to contingencies, progress to branching accident. We want a march from amoeba to man. Gould is especially good at breaking that habit. He insists that the sequence from jellyfish to trilobite to dinosaur to monkey to

human is no lineage at all, but only a chronological parade of unrelated termini on different evolutionary trunks. Life, on his account, shows no general trend toward complexity in the usual triumphalist sense, only an asymmetrical expansion of diversity from simple beginnings. Even more devastatingly, five-sixths of the history of life is the story of single-celled creatures alone. That is a useful corrective to any species tempted to imagine itself as the obvious aim of the cosmos.

What emerges from these quotations is a picture of humanity as local, late, contingent, and structurally undistinguished. Bertrand Russell's dry line about philosophers seeing amoeba-to-man as obvious progress, though whether the amoeba would agree is not known, is funny because it punctures the smugness of retrospective ranking. Darwin's zebra anecdote does something similar in a stranger key: instinct, color, mating, recognition, all proceeding without the least concern for human dignity. Darwin himself could remark that the brain of an ant may be one of the most marvellous atoms of matter in the world, perhaps more so than the brain of man. The lesson is not that humans are worthless. It is that our habit of assuming unmatched dignity becomes harder to sustain once nature is allowed to speak for itself.

This dethroning is not merely biological. It extends to every frame large enough to show proportion. We are not masters standing outside the universe; we are one of its local productions, capable of thought, briefly.

And yet human smallness is not only an insult. It can also be a liberation. If we are not the centre, then we need not carry the burden of pretending to be. If evolution is messy, contingent, and improvisational, then perfection was never the

standard. Sydney Brenner's remark that anything produced by evolution is bound to be a bit of a mess is excellent for this reason. It dispenses with the expectation of clean design. Wandering, trial, deviation, mess: these are not embarrassments added to life from outside but part of the process by which life became what it is.

There is also a harder existential edge to these selections. Gould says we may yearn for a higher answer, but none exists. That sentence states plainly what the chapter implies throughout: once we cease flattering ourselves with cosmic privilege, the world may remain intelligible without becoming consoling. There need not be a final reassurance waiting behind the facts. But perhaps that is all right. To be put in one's place is not always to be diminished in the cheap sense. Sometimes it is simply to be made accurate. And there is dignity in accuracy.

What emerges, then, is a correction of scale. Humanity is not the centre, not the climax, not the hidden purpose toward which all previous ages strained. We are a recent twig on an unruly tree, a historical event among others, a clever animal produced by long processes that did not have us in mind. If that sounds bleak, it is only because vanity finds proportion insulting. Seen differently, it is exhilarating. It means we are not the authors of the universe, but one of its sentences.

Selected quotations

It is almost as if the human brain were specifically designed to misunderstand Darwinism, and to find it hard to believe.

— *Richard Dawkins*

We talk about the ‘march from monad to man’... as though evolution followed continuous pathways to progress along unbroken lineages. Nothing could be further from reality. I do not deny that, through time, the most ‘advanced’ organism has tended to increase in complexity. But the sequence from jellyfish to trilobite to nautiloid to armoured fish to dinosaur to monkey to human is no lineage at all, but a chronological set of termini on unrelated evolutionary trunks. Moreover life shows no trend to complexity in the usual sense—only an asymmetrical expansion of diversity around a starting point constrained to be simple.

— *Stephen Jay Gould*

Five-sixths of the history of life is the story of single-celled creatures only.

— *Stephen Jay Gould*

A process which led from amoeba to man appeared to the philosophers to be obviously a progress—though whether the amoeba would agree with this opinion is not known.

— *Bertrand Russell*

A female zebra would not admit the addresses of a male ass until he was painted so as to resemble a zebra, and then, as John Hunter remarks, ‘she

received him very readily'. In this curious fact, we have instinct excited by mere colour, which had so strong an effect as to get the better of everything else. But the male did not require this: the female, being an animal somewhat similar to himself, was sufficient to rouse him.

— *Charles Darwin*

... the brain of an ant is one of the most marvelous atoms of matter in the world, perhaps more so than the brain of man.

— *Charles Darwin*

What is man in nature? A nothingness in comparison with the Infinite, an All in comparison with the Nothing, a mean between nothing and everything.

— *Blaise Pascal*

Anything that is produced by evolution is bound to be a bit of a mess.

— *Sydney Brenner*

Chapter 8: Time

Time is one of the great correction mechanisms.

It reduces pretension, spoils permanence, humiliates prophecy, and reminds every creature that however solid things appear, they are passing. Human beings are peculiarly poor at living with this fact. We prefer to imagine stable identities, lasting arrangements, and futures that unfold according to our plans. We speak as though the present were a fixed platform from which we can survey what is coming. Yet that confidence is repeatedly exposed as absurd. Time moves, history accumulates, and what seemed obvious in one moment becomes comic in the next.

There is a crucial difference between lived time and understood time. Oppenheimer remarks that when the future becomes history, it will be clear how little of it we foresaw or could foresee. Bohr's line about prediction being difficult, especially of the future, survives because it says in one dry sentence what whole systems of forecasting fail to admit. We are creatures forever standing inside the stream, mistaking local confidence for genuine command. Time has a way of exposing that mistake without ceremony.

There is also a physical and cosmological strangeness to

time. Eddington's remark that the direction of time's arrow is determined by that incongruous mixture of theology and statistics called the second law of thermodynamics is excellent because it captures both the seriousness and absurdity of the subject. Wheeler's famous line—that time is what prevents everything from happening at once—has the right compression for this chapter. It is witty, but it also says something real about time as the condition of sequence, change, and experience. Without it, there would be no narrative, no causation as we encounter it, no waiting, no regret, no development, and no decay.

The collection also contains a productive friction between seriousness and mockery here. Douglas Adams calling time an illusion, lunchtime doubly so, refuses solemnity without surrendering mystery. Mencken's *We are here and it is now*. Further than that all human knowledge is moonshine works for a related reason. Time is philosophically enormous, and one of the few honorable responses to enormous things is sometimes comic compression. The joke does not remove the problem; it keeps us sane in the face of it.

Then there is the deeper perspective of scale. George Gamow's observation that it took less than an hour to make the atoms, a few hundred million years to make the stars and planets, but three billion years to make man is exactly the kind of sentence this book needs. It places human emergence inside deep time without flattery. The point is not merely that the universe is old. It is that human life, set against these spans, becomes both tiny and astonishing. We are brief, but not trivial. We are momentary expressions of very long processes.

Time also unsettles because it defeats possession. No one

gets to hold the present still. The future is not ours in the way we talk as though it were, and the past becomes intelligible only after it has ceased to be livable. Kierkegaard's line that life is understood backwards but must be lived forwards captures the asymmetry beautifully. Understanding is retrospective; living is not. This is one of the central human predicaments. We want advance meaning in a universe that mostly offers belated interpretation.

Time is not only a feature of cosmology or metaphysics. It is also the medium of loss. It is what makes death possible, memory unstable, opportunities perishable, and every act irrevocable. Tomorrow never yet / On any human being rose or set, as William Marsden has it. The line is not just clever. It reminds us that the future never arrives as the future. It arrives as the present, and is immediately consumed. We do not step into tomorrow as masters; we are carried into another now.

Time shrinks us by scale, embarrasses us by unpredictability, amuses us by paradox, and sobers us by transience. It is one of the great anti-vanity forces in the collection. Whatever else we think we know, time will eventually test it. Whatever we build, time will alter it. Whatever meaning we make, time will place it under pressure. But time also gives sequence, memory, growth, story, and the possibility that matter might slowly become conscious enough to ask what time is in the first place.

That is perhaps the strangest thing of all.

Selected quotations

When the time is run, and that future becomes history, it will be clear how little of it we today foresaw or could foresee.

— *J. Robert Oppenheimer*

Prediction is very difficult, especially of the future.

— *Niels Bohr*

The direction of time's arrow could only be determined by that incongruous mixture of theology and statistics known as the second law of thermodynamics.

— *Sir Arthur Eddington*

Time is what prevents everything from happening at once.

— *John Archibald Wheeler*

Time is an illusion, lunchtime doubly so.

— *Douglas Adams*

We are here and it is now. Further than that all human knowledge is moonshine.

— *H. L. Mencken*

The illusion of the passage of time arises from the confusing of the given with the real. Passage of time arises because we think of occupying different realities. In fact, we occupy only different givens. There is only one reality.

— *Kurt Gödel*

It took less than an hour to make the atoms, a few hundred million years to make the stars and planets, but three billion years to make man!

— *George Gamow*

Tomorrow never yet / On any human being rose or set.

— *William Marsden*

Life is understood backwards, but must be lived forwards.

— *Søren Kierkegaard*

Chapter 9: Death

Death is the point at which all evasions become difficult.

Human beings can distract themselves from almost anything for a while. We are very good at postponing clarity. We work, chatter, plan, lust, calculate, quarrel, and entertain ourselves as though continuance were the default condition. Death interrupts that fantasy. It is the great leveller not because it makes everyone equal in some sentimental sense, but because it exposes the shared structure beneath every life: whatever else we are, we are temporary. At its strongest, the material here refuses piety and sentimentality alike. It does not deny fear, but it also does not inflate death into a mystical solution. Often it is severe, occasionally comic, and now and then almost shockingly plain. Confucius, asked about death, replies with a question of his own: not yet understanding life, how can you understand death? The deflection is itself a kind of bluntness.

Wheeler's line may be the central one here: the greatest risk of all is to be born, for then we are sure to die. It is dark, dry, almost mathematical in its compression, and it fits the whole book. Mortality enters not as a melodramatic exception but as the price of admission. To exist at all is to have stepped into loss in advance. Bacon says that men fear death as children

fear to go in the dark, and that tales intensify the fear. That pairing is excellent because it shows both the naturalness of dread and the role imagination plays in amplifying it. Death is terrible enough; culture often makes it worse by surrounding it with rumor, ornament, and theatricality.

False uplift has to be resisted here. There is a great temptation, when speaking of death, to smuggle consolation in through the back door. But the better quotations do not do that. Landon's line that the universe, taken as a whole, is absurd matters because mortality is one of the things that prevents existence from settling into neat moral architecture. Shakespeare's beetle passage in *Measure for Measure* is also important: the poor beetle we tread on feels as great a pang in corporal suffering as when a giant dies. That is one of the finest anti-vanity lines in the collection. It removes dignity from rank and restores it to sentience itself. Death is not made more serious by status. Suffering is democratic.

There is also something useful in the chapter's small comic abrasions. They stop it becoming pompous. The famous last word Uh-oh, attributed here to Michael J. Smith on *Challenger*, belongs not because it is grand but because it is not. Mortality rarely arrives in elevated prose. It comes amid interruption, bad timing, confusion, denial, and unfinished business. Even Wheeler's formulation has a mordant wit to it. Humor here is not disrespect. It is one of the few forms of honesty available when confronting something too large for rhetoric.

Death is not only an individual matter. It is also a correction of scale. Mortality is one of the ways that proportion is forced upon us. We are not the permanent witnesses of reality. We are local excitations in it, soon gone.

And yet death is not only a reason for despair. It is also one of the reasons seriousness matters at all. Because we are finite, our judgments have weight, our time is not infinitely renewable, our affections are vulnerable, and our evasions cost something real. Death does not grant meaning automatically. It is not a magical depth machine. But it does strip away the lazy fantasy that there will always be another chance, another decade, another revision, another life in which to become accurate. Mortality gives edge to truth.

Death will not finally be made reasonable. It will not be argued away. It can be hidden socially, euphemized religiously, sentimentalized culturally, and joked about privately, but it remains what it is: the limit around every living project.

If there is dignity here, it lies not in overcoming death but in looking at it without theatrical collapse. Not to pretend it is beautiful. Not to pretend it is nothing. Simply to admit that it is there, that it comes for beetles and giants alike, and that to be born was always to have entered its orbit.

Selected quotations

But we know that the greatest risk of all is what it always has been—to be born—for then we are sure to die.

— *John Archibald Wheeler*

Men fear death as children fear to go in the dark; and as that natural fear in children is increased with tales, so is the other.

— *Francis Bacon*

Not yet understanding life, how can you understand death?

— *Confucius, Analects 11.12*

Taken as a whole, the universe is absurd.

— *Walter Savage Landor*

The sense of death is most in apprehension;
And the poor beetle that we tread upon,
In corporal sufferance finds a pang as great
As when a giant dies.

— *William Shakespeare, Measure for Measure*

Uh-oh.

— *Michael J. Smith, on the Challenger explosion*

Tomorrow never yet
On any human being rose or set.

— *William Marsden*¹

¹Attribution uncertain; the couplet appears in several quotation collections but no primary source has been identified.

Chapter 10: Absurdity

Absurdity begins when the world refuses to be emotionally reasonable.

Not merely intellectually difficult, nor scientifically intricate, nor morally disappointing, but resistant at a deeper level to the expectation that reality ought to line up with the hungers of the human mind. We want meaning to be available in proportion to our need for it, justice to be visible, causes to be legible, and the structure of things to flatter the categories by which we live. Instead we get paradox, contingency, comic disproportion, and a universe that is often nearly reasonable but not quite. Chesterton's line puts it perfectly: the real trouble with the world is not that it is unreasonable, nor even that it is reasonable, but that it is nearly reasonable. That is exactly the point. Total chaos would be easier, in a way. It is the partial fit that torments us.

Absurdity is not the same as nonsense. It is what emerges when our explanatory habits keep almost working and then fail at the last moment. Feynman's remark that quantum electrodynamics describes nature as absurd from the point of view of common sense, and yet agrees with experiment, captures the humiliation exactly: the world is under no obligation to pre-

serve our intuitions. Heisenberg's anguished question—can nature really be as absurd as it seemed in atomic experiments?—admits the same thing. These are not men delighting in mere irrationality. They are admitting, reluctantly and honestly, that reality may be stranger than the mental furniture evolved to survive on the savannah can comfortably accommodate.

There is also a philosophical absurdity here, and it is often comic. Cicero's line that there is nothing so ridiculous that some philosopher has not said it is superb because it punctures intellectual vanity without rejecting thought itself. Mencken's remark that for every complex problem there is a solution that is simple, neat, and wrong exposes the same weakness. Both sayings expose a recurrent human weakness: the urge to force difficult realities into tidy formulas. The absurd enters not only because the universe is odd, but because people are forever trying to make it less odd than it is. Much nonsense begins as overconfident simplification.

Comedy matters here. Douglas Adams is crucial. Time is an illusion, lunchtime doubly so is ridiculous and perfect because it trivializes metaphysics without abolishing it. The joke survives because it contains the truth in comic form: our grand abstractions are often encountered through the stupidities of daily life. Likewise Hanlon's Razor belongs in this vicinity, not because it explains everything, but because it rescues us from one common absurdity: the tendency to attribute cosmic malice to ordinary incompetence. Sometimes the ridiculous explanation is, in fact, the correct one.

Two temptations need resisting. The first is to turn absurdity into nihilistic sulking, as though the world's failure to flatter us amounted to a personal insult. The second is to ro-

manticize absurdity into something noble merely because it is difficult. Better, I think, to treat it as a fact about the relation between finite minds and a reality that exceeds them. Boltzmann's complaint that philosophy constructs concepts like matter, space, and time with infinite ingenuity and then finds them unusable points toward the same comedy: our minds manufacture puzzles and then stand astonished before them. The absurd often lies not only in things, but in us.

That is why humor matters so much in this book. Humor is not an evasion of absurdity but one of the most intelligent responses to it. To laugh is not to solve the contradiction. It is to refuse to be entirely crushed by it. Mencken, Adams, Feynman, Chesterton, and even the drier remarks from Cicero help keep the chapter from curdling into self-importance. A world that is only nearly reasonable cannot be inhabited honestly by solemnity alone. It requires wit, proportion, and a willingness to admit that common sense is often a local convenience rather than a cosmic law.

Absurdity, then, is not a decorative theme in the book. It is one of its central recognitions. We are beings who crave coherence in a world that gives us patterns without guarantees, meaning without closure, laws without comfort, consciousness without permanence, and occasional beauty without explanation. That sounds bleak until one notices that it is also strangely freeing. If the universe is not obliged to make emotional sense, then one can stop trying to force it to do so. One can think more accurately, laugh more honestly, and perhaps even wonder more deeply.

Selected quotations

The real trouble with this world of ours is not that it is an unreasonable world, nor even that it is a reasonable one. The commonest kind of trouble is that it is nearly reasonable, but not quite.

— *G. K. Chesterton*

The theory of quantum electrodynamics describes Nature as absurd from the point of view of common sense. And it agrees fully with experiment. So I hope you can accept Nature as She is—absurd.

— *Richard P. Feynman*

I remember discussions with Bohr which went through many hours till very late at night. . . and I repeated to myself again and again the question: Can nature possibly be as absurd as it seemed to us in these atomic experiments?

— *Werner Heisenberg*

There is nothing so ridiculous but some philosopher has said it.

— *Marcus Tullius Cicero*

For every complex problem, there is a solution that is simple, neat, and wrong.

— *H. L. Mencken*

There is no way out or round or through.

— *H. G. Wells*

Philosophy, even today, is still very far from being the science of the conceivable. It constructs for itself concepts of matter, space, and time, and then finds that they are unusable.

— *Ludwig Boltzmann*

Chapter 11: Wonder

Wonder is what remains when illusion has been burned away and the world is still there.

That matters, because there is a cheap version of wonder that depends upon confusion. It feeds on vagueness, pious inflation, pseudoscientific fog, and the hope that mystery becomes deeper the less clearly we think about it. The best kind of wonder is not the wonder of surrendering judgment, but of seeing more exactly and finding that reality, far from becoming dull, grows stranger, larger, and more arresting the clearer it is understood. Wonder is not the enemy of skepticism. It is what skepticism clears the ground for.

That is why Einstein belongs here so naturally. The mysterious, he says, is the source of all true art and science. This is not a plea for obscurity. It is an acknowledgment that understanding begins in astonishment. Not all mysteries are solved, and not all should be dissolved into slogans. But neither are they improved by nonsense. Sagan's cosmic passages work in the same way. Hydrogen atoms, given enough time, become conscious, invent writing, cities, art, science, and spaceships. Stellar ash wakes up. The universe produces beings capable of asking what the universe is. Those lines are wondrous not

because they are soft, but because they are exact enough to be incredible.

Wonder also depends on scale. It is often a response to proportion: the sudden apprehension that our lives are both minuscule and real, negligible in one frame and immense in another. The same duality appears in the evolutionary and cosmological strands of the collection. Five-sixths of life's history is single-celled. It took less than an hour to make the atoms, a few hundred million years to make stars and planets, and billions of years to make man. We are latecomers, twigs, temporary excitations of matter—and yet matter, in us, has become capable of awe. That is not a reduction of wonder. It is its most astonishing form.

Disenchantment and wonder are not opposites. Many people seem to imagine that if religious certainty, metaphysical consolation, or anthropocentric vanity are removed, only bleakness remains. But that is not so. To see the world as contingent, unscripted, and indifferent does not make it empty. It may make it more vivid. It removes the false center and leaves the thing itself. The stars do not become less remarkable because they are not lanterns hung for our sake. Evolution does not become less marvelous because it did not intend us. Mortality does not make consciousness trivial; it makes it precarious and therefore, in a certain sense, more astonishing.

There is even a kind of ethical refinement in this. Wonder, properly understood, makes us less pompous. It discourages the childish belief that our preferences legislate reality. It teaches receptivity. Faraday's line that nothing is too wonderful to be true, if it is consistent with the laws of nature, is perfect here because it joins openness to discipline. Wonder is

not gullibility. It does not ask us to believe every extraordinary thing. It asks us to remain available to the extraordinary without abandoning standards. That is a far more difficult and honorable posture than either credulity or cynicism.

The humor in the book still matters, even here. Genuine wonder is not solemn in a churchy way. It often comes mixed with comic disproportion. There is something intrinsically funny in hydrogen atoms becoming philosophers, in clever primates on a small planet discussing infinity, and in a universe vast enough to extinguish us producing creatures vain enough to imagine themselves central. Laughter does not ruin wonder. It saves it from pomposity. Some of the best lines in the collection work precisely because they keep grandeur and ridiculousness in the same frame.

The book begins by refusing certainty and ends not in consolation, but in chastened astonishment. The world has not become kinder by the end. It has not been made morally neat. Death is still there. Contingency is still there. Human smallness is still there. But so is the fact that anything exists at all, that matter has organized itself into life and mind, that inquiry is possible, that beauty occurs, that truth can sometimes be glimpsed, and that the same universe that denies us permanence has nevertheless produced beings capable of wonder.

Selected quotations

A piece of star-stuff learned that the 15 billion year long evolution of cosmic alchemy had expanded to the point where it could think... We are a way for

the cosmos to know itself.

— *Carl Sagan*

The ash of stellar alchemy was now emerging into consciousness. At an ever-accelerating pace, it invented writing, cities, art and science, and sent spaceships to the planets and the stars. These are some of the things that hydrogen atoms do, given fifteen billion years of cosmic evolution.

— *Carl Sagan*

Nothing is too wonderful to be true, if it be consistent with the laws of nature; and in such things as these, experiment is the best test.

— *Michael Faraday*

The most beautiful thing we can experience is the mysterious. It is the source of all true art and science.

— *Albert Einstein*

Sources and Notes

Note on sources

The quotations in this collection were gathered over many years from books, essays, lectures, notebooks, and reference works. Sources have been given where they were known and verifiable at the time of preparation. In some cases, wording and attribution have been checked against standard published editions; in others, the best available attribution has been given. Where an attribution could not be confirmed to a specific primary source, this has been noted. In a book that argues for proportioning belief to evidence, it seemed better to flag uncertainty than to disguise it.

Probability

Boole, George. *An Investigation of the Laws of Thought*. London: Walton and Maberly, 1854. Chapter XVI, “On the Theory of Probabilities.”

Born, Max. *Natural Philosophy of Cause and Chance*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1949. Based on the Waynflete Lectures de-

- livered at Magdalen College, Oxford, Hilary Term 1948.
- Cicero. *De Natura Deorum*, Book I, §12. For “Probability is the very guide of life.”
- Descartes, René. *Discourse on Method*, Part III. 1637.
- Diaconis, Persi, and Frederick Mosteller. “Methods for Studying Coincidences.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 84, no. 408 (December 1989): 853–861. Quote on p. 859.
- Galton, Francis. *Natural Inheritance*. London: Macmillan, 1889.
- Gould, Stephen Jay. “The Streak of Streaks.” *The New York Review of Books*, 18 August 1988. Reprinted in *Bully for Brontosaurus*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1991.
- Laplace, Pierre-Simon. *A Philosophical Essay on Probabilities*. Translated by F. W. Truscott and F. L. Emory. New York: Dover, 1951. Originally published as *Essai philosophique sur les probabilités*, 1814.
- Maxwell, James Clerk. Letter to Lewis Campbell, 1850.
- Poe, Edgar Allan. “The Murders in the Rue Morgue” (1841). In *Tales*. New York: Wiley and Putnam, 1845.

Chance

- Bertrand, Joseph. *Calcul des probabilités*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1889.
- Boethius. *The Consolation of Philosophy*. Translated by V. E. Watts. London: Penguin, 1969. Book V.
- Herodotus. *The Histories*. Book VII.
- Laplace, Pierre-Simon. *A Philosophical Essay on Probabilities*. See note in Probability section above.

Leucippus. Fragment, preserved in Diogenes Laertius and Aëtius.
 Milton, John. *Paradise Lost*, Book II, line 907. 1667.
 Schopenhauer, Arthur. *Parerga and Paralipomena*. 1851.

Science

Asimov, Isaac. For “The wish to believe...”: widely attributed; likely from *The Roving Mind* (1983) or a comparable essay collection. Attribution retained but exact source unconfirmed.

Box, George E. P. “Science and Statistics.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 71, no. 356 (1976): 791–799. The full formulation (“Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful”) appears in George E. P. Box and Norman R. Draper, *Empirical Model-Building and Response Surfaces*. New York: Wiley, 1987, p. 424.

Bronowski, Jacob. *The Ascent of Man*. London: BBC Books, 1973. Chapter 4.

Einstein, Albert. “The World As I See It.” Originally published as “Mein Weltbild” (1931). Reprinted in *Ideas and Opinions*. New York: Crown, 1954. For “The most incomprehensible thing about the world is that it is comprehensible.”

Gould, Stephen Jay. “Evolution as Fact and Theory.” *Discover* (May 1981); reprinted in *Hen’s Teeth and Horse’s Toes*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1983, p. 255.

Hazlitt, William. “Burke and the Edinburgh Phrenologists.” *The Atlas*, 15 February 1829. Reprinted in *New Writings by William Hazlitt*, 2nd ed., 1925, p. 117.

Huxley, Thomas Henry. “Darwiniana” (1860). In *Collected Essays*, vol. II. London: Macmillan, 1893.

Loftus, Elizabeth. Attribution retained but exact publication unconfirmed. The quotation is widely attributed to Loftus in secondary sources but has not been traced to a specific book or paper.

Oppenheimer, J. Robert. “Atomic Weapons.” Speech to the American Philosophical Society, January 1946. Reprinted in *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 90, no. 1 (1946): 7–10.

Poincaré, Henri. *Science and Hypothesis*. Translated by W. J. G. London: Walter Scott Publishing, 1905.

Popper, Karl. *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. London: Hutchinson, 1959. Originally published as *Logik der Forschung*, 1934.

Error

Babbage, Charles. *Passages from the Life of a Philosopher*. London: Longman, Green, 1864.

Bacon, Francis. *Novum Organum*, Book II, Aphorism 20. 1620.

Bronowski, Jacob. *Science and Human Values*. New York: Julian Messner, 1956.

Butler, Samuel. *Hudibras*.

Darwin, Charles. Attributed; likely from correspondence collected in Francis Darwin (ed.), *The Life and Letters of Charles Darwin* (1887).

Dawkins, Richard. *Unweaving the Rainbow: Science, Delusion and the Appetite for Wonder*. London: Allen Lane, 1998.

Orwell, George. “Notes on Nationalism” (1945). In *Essays*. London: Penguin, 2000.

Thomas, Lewis. *The Medusa and the Snail: More Notes of a Biology Watcher*. New York: Viking Press, 1979.

Evidence

Asimov, Isaac. For “It is a great deal easier to believe...” and “Experimentation is the least arrogant...”: both widely attributed; likely from *The Roving Mind* (1983). Exact sources unconfirmed.

Hume, David. *An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*. 1748. Section X.

Loftus, Elizabeth. See note in Science section.

Royal Society. Motto: *Nullius in verba* (“Take nobody’s word for it”), adopted 1663.

Sagan, Carl. For “Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence”: *Broca’s Brain*. New York: Random House, 1979, p. 62. For “Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence”: *The Dragons of Eden*. New York: Random House, 1977.

Shapiro, Robert. *Origins: A Skeptic’s Guide to the Creation of Life on Earth*. New York: Summit Books, 1986.

Evolution and Human Smallness

Brenner, Sydney. “Why Is Development So Illogical?” *Science* 224, no. 4655 (22 June 1984): 1335–1336.

Darwin, Charles. *The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex*. London: John Murray, 1871. For both the zebra passage and the brain of an ant.

Dawkins, Richard. *The Blind Watchmaker*. London: Long-

man, 1986.

Gould, Stephen Jay. For “the march from monad to man. . .”: *Eight Little Piggies: Reflections in Natural History*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1993. For “Five-sixths of the history of life. . .”: attributed; exact source to be confirmed.

Pascal, Blaise. *Pensées*. 1670. Fragment 72 (Brunschvicg numbering).

Russell, Bertrand. *Our Knowledge of the External World*. Chicago and London: Open Court, 1914.

Time

Adams, Douglas. *The Hitchhiker’s Guide to the Galaxy*. London: Pan Books, 1979.

Bohr, Niels. Widely attributed to Bohr; attribution is traditional but unconfirmed. The saying has also been attributed to the Danish cartoonist Robert Storm Petersen and to others.

Eddington, Arthur. *The Nature of the Physical World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1928. Chapter IV.

Gamow, George. *The Creation of the Universe*. New York: Viking Press, 1952.

Gödel, Kurt. Likely from “A Remark About the Relationship Between Relativity Theory and Idealistic Philosophy.” In Paul Arthur Schilpp (ed.), *Albert Einstein: Philosopher-Scientist*. Evanston, IL: Library of Living Philosophers, 1949.

Kierkegaard, Søren. *Journals and Papers*, IV A 164 (1843).

Marsden, William. Attribution uncertain. The couplet appears in several quotation collections but a primary source has not been identified.

Mencken, H. L. *Prejudices: Second Series*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1920.

Oppenheimer, J. Robert. *The Open Mind*. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1955.

Wheeler, John Archibald. For “Time is what prevents everything from happening at once”: *American Journal of Physics* 46, no. 4 (1978): 323. Attribution also sometimes given to Ray Cummings.

Death

Bacon, Francis. “Of Death.” In *The Essays*. 1597; expanded edition, 1625.

Confucius. *Analects* 11.12.

Landor, Walter Savage. *Imaginary Conversations*. 1824–1853.

Marsden, William. See note in Time section.

Shakespeare, William. *Measure for Measure*. Act III, Scene 1. c. 1604.

Smith, Michael J. Attributed; from the *Challenger* crew transcript (28 January 1986). The word “Uh-oh” appears in reconstructed transcripts of the final seconds, though the attribution to Smith specifically has been disputed.

Wheeler, John Archibald. For “the greatest risk of all...”: attributed; exact source unconfirmed.

Absurdity

Boltzmann, Ludwig. “On Statistical Mechanics” (1904). In Brian McGuinness (ed.), *Theoretical Physics and Philosophical*

Problems. Dordrecht: Reidel, 1974, pp. 164–165.

Chesterton, G. K. *Orthodoxy*. London: John Lane, 1908. Chapter VI, “The Paradoxes of Christianity.”

Cicero. *De Divinatione*, Book II. For “There is nothing so ridiculous. . .”

Feynman, Richard P. *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1985.

Heisenberg, Werner. *Physics and Philosophy: The Revolution in Modern Science*. New York: Harper, 1958.

Mencken, H. L. *Prejudices: Second Series*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1920. For “For every complex problem. . .”

Wells, H. G. *Mind at the End of Its Tether*. London: William Heinemann, 1945.

Wonder

Einstein, Albert. “The World As I See It.” Originally published as “Mein Weltbild” (1931). Reprinted in *Ideas and Opinions*. New York: Crown, 1954.

Faraday, Michael. Laboratory journal entry no. 10,040 (19 March 1849). Published in Henry Bence Jones (ed.), *The Life and Letters of Faraday*, vol. II. London: Longmans, Green, 1870, p. 248.

Sagan, Carl. *Cosmos*. New York: Random House, 1980.

Index of Authors

Adams, Douglas, 8, 10
Asimov, Isaac, 4, 6
Babbage, Charles, 5
Bacon, Francis, 5, 9
Bertrand, Joseph, 3
Boethius, 3
Bohr, Niels, 8, 10
Boltzmann, Ludwig, 10
Boole, George, 2
Born, Max, 2
Box, George, 4
Brenner, Sydney, 7
Bronowski, Jacob, 4, 5
Butler, Samuel, 5

Chesterton, G. K., Preface, 10
Cicero, 2, 10
Confucius, 9

Darwin, Charles, Preface, 5, 7
Dawkins, Richard, 5, 7
Descartes, René, 2
Diaconis, Persi, 2

Eddington, Arthur, 8
Einstein, Albert, 4, 11
Faraday, Michael, 11
Feynman, Richard, 4, 10
Galton, Francis, 2
Gamow, George, 8
Gödel, Kurt, 8
Gould, Stephen Jay, 2, 3, 4, 7
Hazlitt, William, 4
Heisenberg, Werner, 10
Herodotus, 3
Hume, David, 6
Huxley, Thomas Henry, 4
Kierkegaard, Søren, Preface, 8
Landor, Walter Savage, 9
Laplace, Pierre-Simon, Preface, 2, 3
Leucippus, 3
Loftus, Elizabeth, 4, 6
Marsden, William, 8, 9
Maxwell, James Clerk, 2
Mencken, H. L., 8, 10
Milton, John, 3
Mosteller, Frederick, 2
Oppenheimer, J. Robert, 4, 8
Orwell, George, 5
Pascal, Blaise, Preface, 7
Poe, Edgar Allan, 2
Poincaré, Henri, 4

Popper, Karl, 4
Russell, Bertrand, 7
Sagan, Carl, Preface, 6, 11
Schopenhauer, Arthur, 3
Shakespeare, William, 9
Shapiro, Robert, 6
Smith, Michael J., 9
Thomas, Lewis, 5
Wells, H. G., Preface, 10
Wheeler, John Archibald, 8, 9